The effects of a self-paced listening test

Welcome!

Introduction

- Alice Middelkoop
- (a.h.middelkoop@driestar-educatief.nl)
- Teacher educator, Driestar University
- Teacher of English, Further Education
- The Netherlands
- Research for my dissertation

Let's do a listening test

And compare:

- □ An administrator controlled
- with a self-paced listening test

Administrator controlled

- Listening passage: 1 minute
- □ 20 seconds to answer

Listen & answer



What is said about the protection of badgers?

- A. Badgers are protected by general animal welfare legislation.
- B. There is special legislation to protect badgers.
- C. There is a special police force to protect badgers.

And now, a self-paced

- □ Listening passage: 30 seconds
- You may pause, replay as often as you want
- Within total length of test





Question 10:

At number 10, the JVC HAFX 1X is discussed.

Why are these earbuds at the bottom of the list?

Because they

- a. are expensive but not of a great quality
- b. are inexpensive but do not meet all demands
- c. sound good but they are uncomfortable

Questions

- Which one is easier?
- A. Administrator controlled
- B. Self-paced

- Which one do you prefer?
- A. Administrator controlled
- B. Self-paced

Introduction

- Increase of computer based language tests (Chapelle & Douglas, 2006)
- Challenge: why digitise to collect same information? (Buck, 2001)
- This study: effects of repetition and test-taking strategies in a self-paced listening test.

Rationale (1)

What are problems in L2 listening?

- Halting limited lexical knowledge (Field, 2013)
- Speed not recognising or missing several words (Goh, 2000)
- What are methods to support L2 listening?
 - Instruction of (meta)cognitive strategies
 - Repetition of audio
 - Sufficient time (Vandergrift & Goh, 2012)

Rationale (2)

- What are test-taking strategies?
- A combination of language learning, test management and test-wiseness strategies (Cohen, 2006; Cohen & Upton, 2007)
 - Cognitive listening strategies
 - Metacognitive: planning, monitoring and evaluating

Rationale (3)

- What happens when the listening passage is repeated?
 - Questions about authenticity (Buck vs Field)
 - Overall: improving test scores (Field, 2017; Ruhm et al., 2016; etc)

Rationale (4)

- What is self-paced listening?
 - A level of learner control to play, stop and replay (Scheiter & Gerjets, 2007)
 - Scores improve (Roussel, 2011; Goodwin, 2017)
 - Number of repetition is unknown
 - Preference: lowers anxiety (Goodwin)
 - Increases agency (Robin, 2007)
 - Global, interrupted pattern most successful (Roussel)

Research Questions

- 1. Does a self-paced condition **improve test scores?**
- 2. How many times do candidates repeat the audio?
- 3. Do candidates follow certain patterns or strategies?
- 4. Do candidates **prefer** self-paced listening tests?

Method - participants

- 19 Dutch students
- Attending various vocational courses (Economics, IT, Welfare)
- Average age: 18
- 12 female, 7 male
- Average L2 English B1+



Method

- Participants took two listening tests: AC and SP
- Both school leaving tests, B1 level.
- Participants verbalised their thoughts (Ericsson & Simon, 1993)
- The sessions are video-recorded to triangulate the think-alouds (Koon, 2017)
- A short questionnaire after the test

Method - instruments

- AC test
 - Audio interrupted for 20 seconds to select item answer
 - Total 16 MC items
 - Audio, video
 - Aimed level B1

Method – Self-paced test

Passage	Title	Medium	Length (mm:ss)	No. questions
Passage 1	IT person	Audio	03:06	4 MC
Passage 2	Future of fashion	Video	04:02	5 MC
Passage 3a	Earbuds 10	Video	00:37	1 MC
Passage 3b	Earbuds 9	Video	00:32	1 TF
Passage 3c	Earbuds 8	Video	00:25	1 MC
Passage 3d	Earbuds 1	Video	00:43	1 MC
Passage 3e	Earbuds 10,9,8,3,2,1	Video	03:18	1 MC
Total		\subset	12:45	

MBO Engels B1 2014-2015 oefenexamen

Vraag 21 van 34

□ 🖉 🖗 🖓 ()



Bij dit fragment horen 5 vragen.

What is typical of the material and clothes Suzanne Lee makes?

- They are produced by a living organism.
- They are very expensive.
- They have to be produced in a factory.



Method

- Participants took the test individually
- Instruction and practice of think alouds
- Item analysis
- Transcription of recorded test session
- Add precise time indication of passages participants listened to

Results - repetition

- □ Average listening time: 19:59 (n=12)
- □ Range: 13:42 to 29:07
- \square Which is 1.57 times (SD = 0.47) the passage length
- !! Without passage 3e:
- \square Recalculation: 1.95 times (SD = 0.61)

So, the longer you listen...

 Negative correlation between test scores and repetition (r = -. 637)





The longer a test lasts....

Negative correlation length of input vs time spent on input (r = -.841*)

□ The "Alice" effect!



Results - what do students say?

- Test-taking behaviour in combination with verbalizations showed:
 - Effective and ineffective search. Effective: knowing where to listen for an answer ('I haven't heard the answer, so I will go back to...') or ineffective: excessive repetition without hearing the answer
 - Capability to evaluate listening comprehension (Now, I've heard them say', 'I heard the word, but I don't know its meaning)

Discussion

- Effective repetition: improves the result (knowing where to search, deciding when it would help to overcome incomprehension)
- Ineffective repetition: does not improve the result (excessive repetition without overcoming incomprehension)

Results – test taking strategies

How did an individual student take the test?

Type of pattern:

Interrupted



Student 3's test-taking strategy passage 1 and 2



Student 8's test-taking strategy passage 1 and 2

Global pattern



Student 18's test-taking strategy passage 1 and 2

Interrupted, global



Student 1's test-taking strategy passage 1 and 2

Global

Which one do you think...

- □ ... is the most successful?
- A. Global, interrupted
- B. Interrupted, global
- C. Interrupted
- D. Global

Results - test-taking strategies

- No significant relationship between test-taking patterns and test success
- Interrupted pattern was related to a search for item answers

Results – test scores

Average score listening test
 AC test: 71.38%
 SP test: 68.80%

Results - Questionnaire

- □ 47.37% regarded the AC test as the **most difficult**
- □ 52.63% **preferred** the Self-Paced listening test
- **73.68%** preferred to listen **more than once**
- 94.74% preferred to stop the audio

Limitations

- Small study
- The AC and SP tests varied too much: tests should only vary the self-paced condition
- Limited time



Advice

- To prevent too interrupted listening & increase authenticity: force participants listen once
- To prevent excessive & ineffective repetition: limit total test time
- Learn participants strategies to search & evaluate
- Implement self-paced listening to lower anxiety levels & increase confidence

Questions?

□ Comments or whatsoever...

a.h.middelkoop@driestar-educatief.nl

References (1)

- Brown, H. D., & Abeywickrama, P. (2010). Language assessment: Principles and classroom Practices. Chapter 7. White Plains, NY: Pearson Education.
- Buck, G. (2001). Assessing Listening. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Chapelle, C. & Douglas, D. (2006) Assessing language through computer technology. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Cohen, A. (2006). The coming of age of research on test-taking strategies. Language Assessment Quarterly, 3(4), 307-331. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/15434300701333129
- Cohen, A. & Upton, T. (2007). 'I want to go back to the text': Response strategies on the reading subtest of the new TOEFL®, Language Testing, 24(2), 209-250. https://doi.org/10.1177/0265532207076364
- Ericsson, K. A., & Simon, H. A. (1993). Protocol analysis: Verbal reports as data (rev. ed.). Cambridge, MA: MIT.
- Field, J. (2013). Cognitive validity. In Geranpayeh A. and Taylor, L. (eds), Examining listening: Research and practice in assessing second language listening (pp. 77-151). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Field, J. (2017). The effects of single and double play upon listening test outcomes and cognitive processing. British Council.
- Goh, C. (2000). A cognitive perspective on language learners' listening comprehension problems. System, 28, 55-75. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0346-251X(99)00060-3
- Goh, C. (2008). Metacognitive instruction for second language listening development: Theory, practice and research implications. *RELC journal*, 39(2), 188-213. https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688208092184
- Goodwin, S. (2017). Locus of control in L2 English listening assessment. (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). Georgia State University. Retrieved April 28, 2018, from https://scholarworks.gsu.edu/alesl_diss/37
- Hacket, E. (2008). Adapting listening tests for on-screen use. Research Notes, 32, 23-25.
- Horness, P. (2013). The effect of repetition types on listening tests in an EFL setting. (Doctoral dissertation). Retrieved from ProQuest Dissertations and Theses database. (UMI No. 3564722)

References (2)

- Koon, J. (2017). Reflecting in action: think aloud. In R. Barnard & J. Ryan, Reflective practice: voices from the field. New York: Routledge.
- Robin, R. (2007). Commentary: Learner-based listening and technological authenticity. Language Learning & Technology, 11(1), 109-115. doi:10125/44092
- Roussel, S. (2011). A computer assisted method to track listening strategies in second language learning. ReCALL, 23(2), 98-116. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0958344011000036
- Ruhm, R., Leitner-Jones, C., Kulmhofer, A., Kiefer, T., Mlakar, H., & Itzlinger-Bruneforth, U. (2016). Playing the recording once or twice: Effects on listening test performances. International Journal of Listening, 30(1-2), 67-83. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/10904018.2015.1104252</u>
- Scheiter, K., & Gerjets, P. (2007). Learner control in hypermedia environments. Educational Psychology Review, 19(3), 285-307. Https://doi.org//10.1007/s10648-007-9046-3
- Sherman, J. (1997). The effect of question preview in listening comprehension tests. Language testing, 14(2), 185-213. https://doi.org/10.1177/026553229701400204
- Swain, M. (1984). Large scale communicative language testing. In S. Savignon & M. Berns (Eds.), Initiatives in communicative language teaching: A book of readings (pp. 185-201). Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- VanderGrift, L. (2007). Recent developments in second and foreign language listening comprehension research. Language Teaching, 40(3), 191-210. doi: 10.1017/S0261444807004338
- Vandergrift, L. (2011). Second language listening. In E. Hinkel (Ed.), Handbook of research in second language teaching and learning, 2, (pp. 455-471). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Vandergrift, L. & Goh, C. C. M (2012). Teaching and learning second language listening: Metacognition in action. New York: Routledge.



Thank you for your attention!